CVRIA de

LUXEMBOURG

POS BENDRIJŲ TEISINGUMO TEISMAS IRÓPAI KÖZÖSSÉGEK BÍRÓSÁGA IL-QORTI TAL-ĠUSTIZZJA TAL-KOMUNITAJIET EWROPEJ HOF VAN JUSTITIE VAN DE EUROPESE GEMEENSCHAPPEN TRYBUNAŁ SPRAWIEDLIWOŚCI WSPÓLNOT EUROPEJSKICH TRIBUNAL DE JUSTIÇA DAS COMUNIDADES EUROPEIAS SÚDNY DVOR EURÓPSKYCH SPOLOČENSTIEV SODIŠČE EVROPSKIH SKUPNOSTI EUROOPAN YHTEISÖJEN TUOMIOISTUIN EUROPEISKA GEMENSKAPERNAS DOMSTOL

Press and Information

PRESS RELEASE No 19/05

10 March 2005

Judgment of the Court of Justice in Case C-33/03

Commission of the European Communities v United Kingdom

THE UNITED KINGDOM ORDER PERMITTING EMPLOYERS TO DEDUCT VAT ON SUMS REIMBURSED TO EMPLOYEES FOR THE VEHICLE FUEL THEY BUY IS INCOMPATIBLE WITH COMMUNITY LAW

The order does not ensure that the VAT deducted relates exclusively to fuel used for the purposes of the employer's taxable transactions

According to the Sixth VAT Directive, ¹ a taxable person may deduct VAT in respect of goods and services used for the purposes of his taxable transactions. In order to exercise this right, a taxable person must hold an invoice.

A United Kingdom order of 1991² provides that road fuel bought by employees is treated as supplied to the employer when the employer reimburses the employee. Reimbursement may be made either by means of a mileage allowance or on the basis of the actual amount paid. Where the fuel is treated as having been supplied to the employer for his requirements, he may deduct the VAT paid.

Considering that the grant of the right to deduct was not compatible with the obligation to hold an invoice, the Commission brought infringement proceedings against the United Kingdom. When examining the matter, the Commission furthermore concluded that the right to deduct was also contrary to the principle that only VAT on goods and services supplied to a taxable person for the purposes of his own transactions may be deducted.

The Court observes that the provisions of the Sixth VAT Directive clearly specify the conditions giving rise to the right to deduct and the extent of that right. They do not leave the Member States any discretion as regards their implementation. The Sixth VAT Directive makes it clear that a taxable person is authorised to deduct VAT in respect of goods and services supplied by another taxable person for the purposes of his taxable transactions. The

TRIBUNAL DE JUSTICIA DE LAS COMUNIDADES EUROPEAS SOUDNÍ DVŮR EVROPSKÝCH SPOLEČENSTVÍ

DE EUROPÆISKE FÆLLESSKABERS DOMSTOL

ΔΙΚΑΣΤΗΡΙΟ ΤΩΝ ΕΥΡΩΠΑΪΚΩΝ ΚΟΙΝΟΤΗΤΩΝ

EUROOPA ÜHENDUSTE KOHUS

EIROPAS KOPIENU TIESA

GERICHTSHOF DER EUROPÄISCHEN GEMEINSCHAFTEN

COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES

COUR DE JUSTICE DES COMMUNAUTÉS EUROPÉENNES

CÚIRT BHREITHIÚNAIS NA gCÓMHPHOBAL EORPACH

CORTE DI GIUSTIZIA DELLE COMUNITÀ EUROPEE

¹ Sixth Council Directive 77/388/EEC of 17 May 1977 on the harmonisation of the laws of the Member States relating to turnover taxes – Common system of value added tax: uniform basis of assessment (OJ 1977 L 145, p. 1).

^{1).} ² VAT (InputTax) (Person Supplied) Order 1991.

order at issue enables a taxable person, the employer, to deduct VAT on the fuel supplied to non-taxable persons, employees. It is true that in carrying out their work employees act on behalf of their employer. Nevertheless, the Court finds that the U.K. Order does not make the right to deduct subject to the condition that the fuel bought by the employee should be used for the purposes of the employer's taxable transactions.

On the contrary, that order permits the deduction of VAT on the amount of fuel reimbursed computed by reference to the total distance travelled, 'whether or not including distances travelled otherwise than for the purposes of the business of the taxable person'. It is therefore possible for the employer to deduct VAT in respect of fuel used by the employee for his private purposes.

It follows that the U.K. Order is not compatible with the Sixth VAT Directive since it does not guarantee that the VAT deducted relates solely to fuel used for the purposes of the taxable person's taxed transactions.

The United Kingdom Government has challenged the claim concerning the obligation to hold an invoice only if the first claim should be held to be unfounded. In those circumstances, the Court finds that the U.K. order is also infringes that obligation.

Unofficial document for media use, not binding on the Court of Justice.

Languages available: EN, FR, DE

The full text of the judgment may be found on the Court's internet site <u>http://curia.eu.int/jurisp/cgi-bin/form.pl?lang=en</u> It can usually be consulted after midday (CET) on the day judgment is delivered.

> For further information, please contact Christopher Fretwell Tel: (00352) 4303 3355 Fax: (00352) 4303 2731